

Governance Readiness Assessment Tool

Introduction

The **Governance Readiness Assessment Tool** is a core component of the *Climate & Energy Governance Implementation Framework*, designed to help stakeholders evaluate their capacity to implement effective climate and energy governance. This tool enables policymakers, regional authorities, national implementation units, and other governance actors to assess their institutional, policy, and technical readiness to align with the Framework's goals: achieving net-zero emissions by 2050, universal clean energy access, and climate resilience for vulnerable communities.

The tool assesses readiness across five key dimensions:

1. **Governance Structures:** Institutional frameworks for multi-level coordination.
2. **Policy Mechanisms:** Availability and effectiveness of climate and energy policies.
3. **Stakeholder Engagement:** Inclusion of businesses, civil society, and communities.
4. **Technical Capacity:** Resources and expertise for implementation and monitoring.
5. **Financial Preparedness:** Access to and allocation of climate finance.

By completing this assessment, stakeholders can identify strengths, pinpoint gaps, and prioritize actions to align with the Framework's principles (sustainability, equity, science-based decision-making, cooperation, adaptability, ethical governance) and implementation roadmap.

Objectives

- Evaluate readiness to implement the Framework's governance structures and mechanisms.
- Identify barriers to effective climate and energy governance.
- Provide actionable recommendations to enhance capacity and alignment.
- Support strategic planning for short-term (2025-2030) and medium-term (2030-2040) goals.

Target Audience

- National and regional policymakers
- Climate and energy officials
- Regional Climate & Energy Governance Hubs
- National Implementation Units
- Local government authorities

Assessment Dimensions and Criteria

1. Governance Structures

Evaluate your organization's institutional frameworks for multi-level climate and energy governance.

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
1.1 National Implementation Unit	Existence of a dedicated unit with clear mandates for Framework implementation (e.g., inter-ministerial coordination, stakeholder engagement).		

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
1.2 Regional Hub Engagement	Active participation in or establishment of a Regional Climate & Energy Governance Hub for regional coordination and resource access.		
1.3 Multi-Level Coordination	Mechanisms for aligning global, regional, and national policies (e.g., regular reporting to Global Oversight Body, integration with regional plans).		
1.4 Compliance Mechanisms	Systems for enforcing Framework commitments (e.g., sanctions, incentives, audits).		

Subtotal (out of 20): ____

2. Policy Mechanisms

Assess the availability and effectiveness of climate and energy policy tools.

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
2.1 Climate Legislation	Presence of binding laws aligned with Framework targets (e.g., net-zero by 2050, 50% emissions reduction by 2035).		
2.2 Economic Tools	Implementation of carbon pricing (e.g., \$135/tCO ₂ e by 2030), subsidy reform, or green incentives.		
2.3 Just Transition Policies	Policies to support workers and communities affected by fossil fuel phase-outs (e.g., retraining programs, economic diversification).		
2.4 Monitoring Systems	Use of standardized monitoring tools (e.g., Integrated Climate Metrics System) for emissions, energy, and adaptation tracking.		

Subtotal (out of 20): ____

3. Stakeholder Engagement

Evaluate the inclusion of diverse stakeholders in governance processes.

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
3.1 Multi-Stakeholder Forums	Existence of platforms for businesses, CSOs, and communities to co-design policies.		
3.2 Procedural Rights	Guaranteed access to information, participation, and justice for all stakeholders, especially marginalized groups.		
3.3 Indigenous Veto Powers	Mechanisms to uphold Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) for projects impacting indigenous lands.		

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
3.4 Private Sector Integration	Formal roles for businesses in advisory bodies or sectoral coalitions.		

Subtotal (out of 20): ____

4. Technical Capacity

Assess the resources and expertise available for Framework implementation.

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
4.1 Climate Expertise	Availability of trained staff or experts in climate science, policy design, and energy systems.		
4.2 Data Infrastructure	Systems for collecting, analyzing, and reporting climate and energy data (e.g., Data Commons integration).		
4.3 Technology Access	Access to clean energy technologies, adaptation tools, or innovation support (e.g., via Regional Hubs).		
4.4 Capacity Building	Participation in training programs or governance simulations (e.g., Climate Governance Community of Practice).		

Subtotal (out of 20): ____

5. Financial Preparedness

Evaluate access to and allocation of climate finance.

Criterion	Description	Score (1–5)	Justification
5.1 Climate Finance Access	Ability to secure funding from public, private, or Framework sources (e.g., green bonds, Regional Hub grants).		
5.2 Budget Allocation	Dedicated budgets for mitigation, adaptation, and just transition programs.		
5.3 Finance Tracking	Systems to monitor and report climate finance flows (e.g., Climate Finance Tracker).		
5.4 Equitable Distribution	Mechanisms to prioritize finance for vulnerable communities and regions.		

Subtotal (out of 20): ____

Overall Readiness Score

Total Score (out of 100): ____ (Sum of subtotals from all dimensions)

Scoring Interpretation

Score Range	Readiness Level	Description
80–100	Optimized	Fully aligned with Framework; robust systems ready for scaling. Focus on leadership and knowledge sharing.
60–79	Advanced	Strong systems in place, partially aligned. Prioritize gaps in specific dimensions for full alignment.
40–59	Developing	Moderate capacity with key gaps. Focus on building institutions, policies, and stakeholder engagement.
20–39	Emerging	Initial efforts underway but significant gaps. Prioritize foundational governance and technical capacity.
0–19	Not Started	No significant capacity. Begin with basic institutional setup and Framework alignment.

Recommendations by Dimension

1. Governance Structures

- **Low Scores (0–10):**
 - Establish a National Implementation Unit with clear mandates by 2026.
 - Engage with your Regional Climate & Energy Governance Hub for coordination support.
 - Develop a multi-level coordination plan using the [Multi-level Governance Coordination Guide](#).
- **Moderate Scores (11–15):**
 - Strengthen compliance mechanisms with national legislation and audits.
 - Enhance Regional Hub participation through regular reporting and collaboration.
- **High Scores (16–20):**
 - Share best practices via the Climate Governance Community of Practice.
 - Advocate for stronger global-regional alignment in Global Oversight Body forums.

2. Policy Mechanisms

- **Low Scores (0–10):**
 - Draft climate legislation aligned with Framework targets (e.g., net-zero by 2050).
 - Pilot carbon pricing or subsidy reform using the [Carbon Pricing Implementation Guide](#).
- **Moderate Scores (11–15):**
 - Scale economic tools (e.g., carbon pricing to \$135/tCO₂e by 2030).
 - Implement just transition policies using the [Just Transition Planning Template](#).
- **High Scores (16–20):**
 - Integrate advanced monitoring (ICMS) for real-time policy evaluation.
 - Lead regional policy harmonization efforts through Regional Hubs.

3. Stakeholder Engagement

- **Low Scores (0–10):**
 - Create multi-stakeholder forums using the [Stakeholder Engagement Protocol](#).

- Establish procedural rights for marginalized groups, including FPIC for indigenous communities.
- **Moderate Scores (11–15):**
 - Expand private sector roles in advisory bodies or coalitions.
 - Strengthen community engagement through climate literacy campaigns.
- **High Scores (16–20):**
 - Mentor other regions on inclusive governance models.
 - Advocate for global stakeholder engagement standards.

4. Technical Capacity

- **Low Scores (0–10):**
 - Recruit or train climate and energy experts.
 - Deploy basic data infrastructure with support from Regional Hubs.
- **Moderate Scores (11–15):**
 - Integrate with Data Commons for emissions and finance tracking.
 - Access clean energy technologies via Framework innovation programs.
- **High Scores (16–20):**
 - Develop regional training programs for climate governance.
 - Lead technology transfer initiatives for Global South partners.

5. Financial Preparedness

- **Low Scores (0–10):**
 - Apply for climate finance through Regional Hubs using the [Climate Finance Access Navigator](#).
 - Allocate initial budgets for mitigation and adaptation.
- **Moderate Scores (11–15):**
 - Implement finance tracking systems (e.g., Climate Finance Tracker).
 - Prioritize equitable finance distribution for vulnerable communities.
- **High Scores (16–20):**
 - Advocate for scaled global climate finance at Global Oversight Body.
 - Share equitable finance models via Framework networks.

Action Plan Template

Use this template to prioritize and track actions based on your assessment results.

Dimension	Identified Gap	Recommended Action	Timeline	Responsible Party	Resources Needed
Governance Structures	E.g., No National Implementation Unit	Establish unit with inter-ministerial mandate	Q2 2026	Climate Ministry	Framework Seed Kit
Policy Mechanisms	E.g., No carbon pricing	Pilot carbon tax at \$50/tCO ₂ e	Q4 2026	Finance Ministry	Carbon Pricing Guide

Dimension	Identified Gap	Recommended Action	Timeline	Responsible Party	Resources Needed
Stakeholder Engagement	E.g., Limited CSO inclusion	Create multi-stakeholder forum	Q3 2026	Environment Ministry	Engagement Protocol
Technical Capacity	E.g., Weak data systems	Deploy Data Commons integration	Q1 2027	Technical Team	Regional Hub Support
Financial Preparedness	E.g., No climate budget	Allocate 2% of GDP to climate programs	Q2 2027	Finance Ministry	Finance Navigator

Next Steps

- Review Results:** Share your assessment with key stakeholders to validate findings and build consensus on priorities.
- Develop a Strategy:** Use the action plan to integrate recommendations into your climate and energy governance roadmap.
- Connect to Regional Resources:**
 - Explore the Regional Hub concept at globalgovernanceframework.org/frameworks/hubs to understand how regional coordination can support your governance initiatives.
 - Identify existing regional organizations in your area that may provide similar functions to the conceptual Regional Hubs.
- Access Support:**
 - Email globalgovernanceframework@gmail.com for technical assistance and to discuss potential regional collaboration opportunities.
 - Inquire about pilot projects or implementation partnerships related to the Regional Hub concept.
- Monitor Progress:** Reassess readiness annually to track improvements and adjust strategies.
- Share Insights:** Contribute lessons learned to the Framework's knowledge repository to support global learning and inform Regional Hub concept development.

Contact and Further Information

For additional support:

- Online Resources:** Access tools and guides at globalgovernanceframework.org/frameworks/tools/energy.
- Technical Assistance:** Email globalgovernanceframework@gmail.com.
- Regional Governance Concepts:** Learn more about the Regional Hub framework at globalgovernanceframework.org/frameworks/hubs.
- Implementation Partnerships:** Inquire about opportunities to pilot Regional Hub functions in your region through globalgovernanceframework@gmail.com.

This tool is a living document, updated periodically. Check the website for the latest version.